## **CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS**

Application Date: July 18, 2023

Applicant: Jonathan Womack, owner; Shane Cook, agent

Property: 2608 Stanford Street, Lot 16, Block 4, Montrose. 4,568 SF structure, on a 5,000 SF lot

Significance: 1920 Craftsman influenced 2-story wood framed contributing structure in the Avondale

West Historic District

Proposal: Worked without a permit or Certificate of Appropriateness

Removed a non-original glass sliding door.

Replaced door with 2 1/1 windows.

Public Comment: No comment received.

Civic No comment received.

Association:

Recommendation: Denial - does not satisfy criteria and issuance of a COA to remove and reinstall the 2

windows, inset and recessed, and trimmed to match original windows.

**HAHC Action:** 

All materials in exterior walls, including windows, siding, framing lumber, and interior shiplap must be retained except where removal or replacement has been explicitly approved by HAHC. Shiplap is an integral structural component of the exterior wall assembly in balloon framed structures and its removal can cause torqueing, twisting and collapse of exterior walls. Shiplap may be carefully shored and removed in small portions to insulate, run wire or plumbing, and should be replaced when the work is complete. Maintenance and minor in-kind repairs of exterior materials may be undertaken without HAHC approval, but if extensive damage of any exterior wall element is encountered during construction, contact staff before removing or replacing the materials. A revised COA may be required.

## CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Basis for Issuance: - Effective:



COA valid for two years from effective date. COA is in addition to any other permits or approvals required by municipal, state and federal law. Permit plans must be stamped by Planning & Development Department for COA compliance prior to submitting for building or sign permits. Any revisions to the approved project scope may require a new COA.

August 3, 2023 HP2023 0122 2608 Stanford Street Avondale West

## **APPROVAL CRITERIA**

## ALTERATIONS, REHABILITATIONS, RESTORATIONS AND ADDITIONS

Sec. 33-241: HAHC shall issue a certificate of appropriateness for the alteration, rehabilitation, restoration or addition of an exterior feature of (i) any landmark, (ii) protected landmark, (iii) any building, structure or object that is part of an archaeological site, or (iv) contributing building in a historic district upon finding that the application satisfies the following criteria, as applicable:

S	D	NA		S - satisfies D - does not satisfy NA - not applicable
$\boxtimes$			(1)	The proposed activity must retain and preserve the historical character of the property;
$\boxtimes$			(2)	The proposed activity must contribute to the continued availability of the property for a contemporary use;
			(3)	The proposed activity must recognize the building, structure, object or site as a product of its own time and avoid alterations that seek to create an earlier or later appearance;
			(4)	The proposed activity must preserve the distinguishing qualities or character of the building, structure, object or site and its environment;
			(5)	The proposed activity must maintain or replicate distinctive stylistic exterior features or examples of skilled craftsmanship that characterize the building, structure, object or site; The newly installed windows do not maintain or replicate similar distinctive stylistic exterior features of this building.
			(6)	New materials to be used for any exterior feature excluding what is visible from public alleys must be visually compatible with, but not necessarily the same as, the materials being replaced in form, design, texture, dimension and scale; The vinyl windows installed are similar in proportion to windows except in how they were installed. Removing and re-installing them inset and recessed with more visually compatible trim will more satisfy this criterion.
			(7)	The proposed replacement of exterior features, if any, should be based on an accurate duplication of features, substantiated by available historical, physical or pictorial evidence, where that evidence is available, rather than on conjectural designs or the availability of different architectural elements from other structures;  The sliding door removed was not original and the wall that it was in is also not original. Applicant was not replacing an original feature.
$\boxtimes$			(8)	Proposed additions or alterations must be done in a manner that, if removed in the future, would leave unimpaired the essential form and integrity of the building, structure, object or site;
			(9)	The proposed design for any exterior alterations or addition must not destroy significant historical, architectural, archaeological or cultural material, including but not limited to siding, windows, doors and porch elements;
			(10)	The proposed alteration or addition must be compatible with the massing, size, scale material and character of the property and the context area; and
			(11)	The distance from the property line to the front and side walls, porches, and exterior features of any proposed addition or alteration must be compatible with the distance to the property line of similar elements of existing contributing structures in the context area.







2013



Before window alteration





